



Research Base

Evidence Foundation for Nonprofit Board and Executive Director Development

Primary Research

Over Twenty-Five Qualitative Interviews with nonprofit executive directors, board members, board chairs, and foundation program officers (September-October 2025) validated critical pain points and product needs:

Challenges Identified and Need Validated: Inadequate board onboarding creates knowledge gaps that persist throughout board service; board members lack understanding of basic governance terminology and role clarity; executive directors spend excessive time managing board-related work with limited resources; boards struggle with role confusion between governance and operations, particularly during organizational transitions; document access and version control creates ongoing frustration; and there is significant unmet demand for accessible, just-in-time professional development.

Seminal Research on Nonprofit Governance

Governance as Leadership Framework: Chait, Ryan, and Taylor (2005) established the foundational three modes of governance—fiduciary (oversight), strategic (partnership in strategy), and generative (sense-making and framing)—developed in collaboration with BoardSource. This seminal work shaped modern understanding of board roles beyond compliance.

Board-Executive Partnership: Herman and Heimovics (1991) pioneered research demonstrating that executives significantly shape board effectiveness, often leading boards informally, particularly in smaller organizations. Their social constructionist perspective revealed that board effectiveness is constructed through stakeholder judgments rather than objective measures alone.

Contingency Theory: Bradshaw (2009) and Cornforth (2003) established that there is no single 'best' governance model; rather, effectiveness depends on fit between governance structure and organizational context, including funding sources, organizational age and complexity, and environmental factors.

Board Behavior and Process: Forbes and Milliken (1999) and Gabrielsson and Huse (2004) demonstrated that board processes—how boards work together—matter as much as or more than formal structure. Trust, communication patterns, and collaborative norms predict board effectiveness.

Current Research Foundations

50-Year Field Review: Renz, Brown, and Andersson (2023) synthesized five decades of nonprofit governance research, finding the literature has become 'increasingly multinational, multicultural, rich, and nuanced,' recognizing complexity, paradox, and diverse theoretical perspectives. Despite this progress, Kugel and Mercado (2024) confirmed 'there is a dearth of academic research in the nonprofit sector on issues of governance' compared to corporate governance research.

Adaptive Governance: McMullin and Raggo (2020) found during COVID-19 that boards must balance leadership and management activities differently in crisis, with organizations experiencing significant shifts between governance modes. This validates BoardSync's flexible, just-in-time approach rather than rigid prescriptive training.

Diversity and Inclusion: Fredette, Bradshaw, and Krause (2016) demonstrated that moving from demographic diversity to genuine inclusion—where diverse voices are heard and valued—strengthens governance. BoardSource's Leading with Intent studies (30+ years of



biennial research) reveal only 28% of boards prioritize community membership in recruitment, and 49% of chief executives lack board members who can establish trust with communities served.

Research-Practice Gap: Murray (2004) identified a significant gap between prescriptive governance advice and research-based understanding, calling these 'parallel universes.' BoardSync directly addresses this gap by translating scholarly findings into accessible, immediately applicable learning designed for busy nonprofit leaders.

Research Justification for BoardSync

Context-Dependent Governance: Research demonstrates governance must adapt to organizational context and life stage. BoardSync's modular, just-in-time design allows boards to access exactly what they need when they need it, rather than following one-size-fits-all prescriptions that contradict contingency theory findings.

Behavioral Change Focus: Since board processes matter as much as structure, BoardSync includes discussion guides with each module to facilitate conversations that change board behavior and dynamics, not just information transfer. This operationalizes Forbes and Milliken's (1999) findings on collaborative norms and trust.

Dual Audience Recognition: Herman and Heimovics' research showing executives shape board effectiveness justifies BoardSync serving both board members and executive directors. The platform recognizes their interdependent partnership rather than treating board development in isolation.

Accessibility and Translation: By creating brief (<15 minute) sessions that synthesize research findings with practitioner wisdom, BoardSync makes scholarly governance insights accessible to time-constrained nonprofit leaders. This directly addresses Murray's identified research-practice gap and responds to primary research finding that current professional development is too time-intensive.

Crisis Readiness: McMullin and Raggo's findings on adaptive governance during crisis validate BoardSync's approach of providing flexible resources boards can access during moments of transition, uncertainty, or organizational challenge rather than only during stable periods.

Community Connection: BoardSource data showing 49% of chief executives lack board members who can establish community trust justifies BoardSync content emphasizing authorized voice, inclusive governance practices, and board diversity beyond demographic representation. Primary research validated this as a priority concern among foundation program officers.

Research Integration

BoardSync stands at the intersection of five decades of academic governance research and contemporary practitioner needs validated through primary research. The platform translates findings from leading scholars at Harvard, University of Missouri-Kansas City, the Urban Institute, and other institutions into accessible resources that strengthen nonprofit leadership. By combining scholarly evidence with practitioner wisdom and addressing documented gaps in current professional development offerings, BoardSync delivers credible, sophisticated, and immediately applicable learning designed to maximize nonprofit community impact.

Key References

- Bradshaw, P. (2009). A contingency approach to nonprofit governance. *Nonprofit Management and Leadership*, 20(1), 61-81.
- Chait, R. P., Ryan, W. P., & Taylor, B. E. (2005). *Governance as leadership: Reframing the work of nonprofit boards*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.



- Cornforth, C. (Ed.). (2003). *The governance of public and non-profit organisations: What do boards do?* London: Routledge.
- Forbes, D. P., & Milliken, F. J. (1999). Cognition and corporate governance: Understanding boards of directors as strategic decision-making groups. *Academy of Management Review*, 24(3), 489-505.
- Fredette, C., Bradshaw, P., & Krause, H. (2016). From diversity to inclusion: A multimethod study of diverse governing groups. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 45(1S), 28S-51S.
- Gabrielsson, J., & Huse, M. (2004). Context, behavior, and evolution: Challenges in research on boards and governance. *International Studies of Management and Organizations*, 34(2), 11-36.
- Herman, R. D., & Heimovics, R. D. (1991). *Executive leadership in nonprofit organizations: New strategies for shaping executive-board dynamics*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Kugel, J., & Mercado, J. M. (2024). Good governance in not-for-profit organizations: A review of the literature on boards of directors. *Journal of Governmental & Nonprofit Accounting*, 13(1), 56-82.
- McMullin, C., & Raggo, P. (2020). Leadership and governance in times of crisis: A balancing act for nonprofit boards. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 49(6), 1182-1190.
- Murray, V. (2004). Prescriptive and research-based approaches to nonprofit boards: Linking parallel universes. Paper presented at the ARNOVA Conference, Los Angeles.
- Renz, D. O., Brown, W. A., & Andersson, F. O. (2023). The evolution of nonprofit governance research: Reflections, insights, and next steps. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 52(4), 891-923.